HAMMER AND TONGS

SP-SDF Discussion Bulletin 1962, Number One

Table of Contents	Page
Resolution on Political Action & the Kennedy Administration	1
Resolution on Perspectives of SP-3DF during the Kennedy Administration - Mendelson, Meior, Hill, Rawick, Bolitzer	4
Boulder Resolution on "Social Defense"	8
The Party and the New administration - hax Shachtman	14
The Party and the ennedy Administration - San Bottone	18
Limits of the New Frontier - Lilton Zatinsky	21
On Idopting Positions at Nat onal Conventions - Alex Wollod	23

Price 15¢

Send orders or articles to:

SP-SDF 184 W. Washington Chicago 2, Ill. Submitted by Martin Oppenheimer Adopted by the National Committee, December 1961

The National Committee reaffirms the statement on implementation of The Convention Statement on Political Realignment, specifically in that it recognizes that in specific instances it may be necessary or desirable for its members to work within one of the two major parties for a time. While on the one hand we do not wish to separate ourselves from those in one or another party moving in a socialist or left direction, on the other hand we must distinguish ourselves from the liberal apologists for the status quo. Support for one or another major party canditate can only be based on the fact that his candidatey represents a real break toward independent political action, away from the present two-party stalemate situation. We cannot support major party candidates, even though they may be endorsed by trade unions, if in fact a basically capitalist party supplies the issues and runs the campaign machinery. Any tactic short of this can lead only to the creation of lilusions emong our friends, and disillusionment and apathy among our cambage.

Party members to work within mass or potentially mass organizations or iented towards social change. Inevitably such movements must confront the political realities of the present two-party structure and must one into conflict with it. It is our job to press for the need by such movements to engage in independent political action- independent of the present organizational machinery of the Democratic and Republican Parties. The most basic task of the Party remains in this area at this time. We stress too that working in mass movements must be a grassroots, community level to be effective in the final analysis, and not simply a functioning as the "left tail" to the organizations of official liberalism, including the trade unions.

on of the Party to closer collaboration with the official liberal and labor bureaucracy. Such a course would lead inevitably to the subordination of the Party's own organization, and to its ultimate liquidation. A subordination of radical demands will not lead to the radicalization necessary to enable people to break with the ideology of the military-industrial complex. We are convinced that only radical positions can lead to growth- and that only a radical strategy can bring about real solutions. We urge the membership, and our friends, at this time to consider the real potentials for political action in their localities, and to begin to lay the groundwork now for meaningful political activity.

Opening of the Resolution by Martin Oppenheimer Not Adopted by The National Committee

Never in history has an American administration claiming to promote a "New Prontier" shown itself so quickly to be old hat. In every basic area of national and international life the Kennedy administration, elected largely on the basis of a liberal photoric, has in lass than a single year shown itself to be grossly inadequate, disappointing and distillusioning even to many who once supported it.

In the area of social welfare, instead of challenging the reacti-

tions of tens of millions of Americans, the administration has come to terms with reaction and has almost totally failed to supply leadership, not to mention results. The policy of combining guns and butter has resulted in many guns, but social welfare legislation goes hungering. The administration has steadily surrendered to the demands of the budget-minded and tax-minded corporatists, at the expense of America's real needs, even though the national budget continues to give heavier and heavier weight to the nation's war-making (and profit-making) potential.

In the field of equality of opportunity and divil rights, the administration has only reluctantly and in the face of tremendous mass pressure taken some small steps of a strictly administrative kind to assure our Negro citizens of a modicum of attention. But no new legislation has been attempted, and as a whole the administration has trodden softly indeed lest it offend the same reactionary coalition which both opposes social welfare, and every attempt to gain the full rights of citizenship for minority groups. Time after time the administration, despite its liberal phrases, has failed to speak out on behalf of the just demands of our Afro-American fellow-citizens and brothers.

The cynicism of this administration's strategy is nowhere better demonstrated than in the field of foreign relations. Beginning with the Cuban adventure, followed by the nuclear pistcl-point diplomacy of Berlin, and culminating now in the resumption of underground testing (and probably, if public pressure does not suffice to prevent it, atmospheric testing) the Kennedy New Frontier has shown itself to be similar in every important respect with the brinkmanship diplomacy of Eisenhower and Dulles. Despite its rhetoric of liberalism, social reform, and the "revolution of expectations", its reply to Soviet nuclear blackmail and the Soviet Union's cynical and criminal disregard for world opinion and world health is basically to follow policies in no way different from those of the USSR.

The frustrations which have inevitably accompanied the continued failure of the administration to cope with problems both at home and abroad has given rise to a serious growth of the political right, supported by some corporations. The National Committee views this development with deep concern, and regards the failure of organized liberalism to cope with America's problems as primarily responsible.

This stalemate, and increasing drift toward the right, in American politics is politically supported by the reactionary coalition of Southern Democrats and Republicans in Congress. It is aided in many states by the unrepresentative nature of rurally-dominated state legislatures, and in the Congressional Committees by outmoded seniority systems which, lacking concentrated opposition from within the administration party, continue to cripple every real effort to legislate social change. This political coalition is responsible not only for a failure to deal with the problems facing Americans, but with the increasing power of the millitary-industrial complex, which permeates more and more every aspect of American Society.

In the North, the stalemate is supported by-and-large by the big city machines, which, while able to deliver huge blocs of votes in return for petty favors, cannot create the large-scale changes required to make life truly human in the big city. Such changes would force the political machines into direct opposition with the big business elements which today dominate the machines, despite their liberal and integrationist phrase-mongering.

In the face of these problems the National Committee believes that we can help break the stalemate state and assist the progressive movements that exist, small but growing, toward solutions for the crises in which American capitalism finds itself. In view of the political activity coming in the Fall of 1962 the National Committee adopts the following broad perspective:

The National Committee reaffirms the general view of the last convention that no single approach can apply to all of the U.S., and all of the circumstances of our widely scattered locals.

In some areas it may be possible for the Socialist Party to run its own candidates for Congress and other positions. But such a response should only be to a real situation, in conformity with the real resources and potentials of such an action, not merely out of a traditional emotional commitment.

In other areas the Party may be able to influence trade unionists to enter their own candidates in such a way that it will represent a truly independent break from machine polition. Or, if this is not feasible, it may be possible to run candidates on a series of other issues, such as peace, independent of the regular party organizations.

(This resolution as submitted concluded with the paragraphs adopted by the National Committee, which appear above, at the beginning of this article.)

BALAGROUND COMMENTS on DRAFT RESOLUTION

Obviously our movement's activity is not limited to straight education about capitalism and socialism. But the desire to be controversies: (1) running a presidential ticket or not, (2) political realignment versus a labor (or third) party.

We have forgotten what is the actual basis for the growth of our organization in the past three years, especially the rapid growth of our youth group - namely, the collapse of American Staline Despiteboth its monolithism and opportunism, the American Communist Party was for 20 years the American radical ginger group. What gave it its strength was its appeal as the agent for a purpore ted Utopia occupying one-sixth of the world; not what particular strategy it adopted in relation to the liberal and labor movements.

In a small but growing way, and imbued with democratic rather this role in the areas mentioned in the Draft Resolution. We will destroy what we have begun, if at this time, when 95% of the job of we shift our energies primarily to the problem of our relationship high-level strategy that can enable us to organize or to push the tant liberal-labor coalition. But if we think such is possible, and doning our true and possible role in the next period.

flicting perspectives, and deciding between them may be a meaningful the present time it is a stultifying task which removes the Party's main immediate tasks and possibilities from the center of the stage.

by SAUL MENDELSON, N.C. member, Chicago; DEBORAH MEIER, Chicago; NORMAN HILL, GBORGE RAWICK, BERNARD BOLITZER

DRAFT RESOLUTION ON PERSPECTIVES OF THE SOCIALIST PARTY DURING THE KENNEDY ADMINISTRATION

September 1961

The first 8 months of the Kennedy Administration has quickly inadequate proposals to meet the needs of the campaign and the grossly housing, education, unemployment, economic growth and civil rights, the Administration task forces clearly outlined the scope of the problems. But the proposals sent to Congress were sharply limited the new administration, instead of forthrightly challenging the power of this reactionary coalition has tried to come to terms with it on domestic legislation in the interest of support for foreign policy.

Paritessiarly outrageous is the refusal of the Administration to

support any new civil rights legislation despite all the campaign promises and the overwhelming vote of the Negro people, a vote which was decisive for Kennedy's victory. Certain administrative steps have Employment Opportunities, but this is not sufficient. been taken, such as a seemingly more vigorous Committe on Equal

The campaign of the Freedom Riders to wipe out discrimination in interstate travel has presented the challenge once more. The appeal to the Freedom Riders to stop their efforts is clearly bowing to the equal treatment, pressures of those who seek to delay and deny such minimal steps for

The Cuban adventure is the most startling example of the cynical actions of this administration. Not only did it reveal the spokesman of the U.S. Government to be barefaced liars but it betrayed the trust of the Cuban exiles who turned to the U.S. for help to overthrow the Castro dictatorship. Kennedy spoke the words of freedom and social reform and then acted to re-establish a conservative, if not reactionary, regime in Cuba.

Frontier is following in the old mold of compromise on important domestic legislation and adherence to the interests of the "military-industrial complex" in foreign policy. At one and the same time, it raises the promise of social reform, uses the language of the rising revolution of expectations, and then urges greater military power to meet the thrust of Communism. But the Cuban adventure showed that, at foreign policy will not differ significantly from what it was under an administration more aware of the problems of the world and more representative of liberal, labor and civil rights sentiment, the New Many liberals genuinely believed the promises of the New Frontier, and eagerly looked to 100 days of social legislation to meet pressing domestic and international problems. While it is refreshing to have Truman and Eisenhower.

for progress and the forces for reaction. The most dynamic progressive movement is the developing Negro struggle for first class citzenship and human dignity. On the reactionary side, there is the John Birch Society, reflecting middle-class bewilderment toward the revolutionary struggles of our time and the challenge of Communism. The stalemate of U.S. politics is threatend by both the forces progress and the forces for reaction. The most dynamic

reactionary coalition of Southern Democrats and Republicans, and in many states by the unrepresentative character of the rural dominated most important Congressional committees are dominated by the conserva-tives of both parties. And long experience has shown that compromise as Kennedy has done, only emboldens the coalition. legislatures. Domestic reform legislation is effectively blocked in Congress by the Whether there is a Democratic or Republican majority,

complex," and those who are committed to the defense of capitalism in an era of revolutionary change. Nothing better illustrates the overan era of revolutionary change. Nothing better illustrate all conservative character of the power elite in the U.S. considerations, and only secondarily by political considerations, to meet the revolutionary changes taking place in the former colonial an semi-colonial world. Here is the power of the "military-industrial" challenge of the 20th Century. Foreign policy under both Democratic and Republican administration, has been decisively shaped by military our political climate into a conservative shape unable to meet the But there are other stalemates in American politics which distort in the former colonial and

> the process of vast revolutionary upheavals. political parties committed, at be\$t, to extremely modest reforms in the U.S. can hardly deal sympathetically with nations and peoples in

the Negro in the North, However there are other forms of the political stalemate. gro in the North, the stalemate is focused in the big city

in many states. But the basic reality remains despite these advances. For liberal and labor forces in the north, the stalemate is not enly in the rural-dominated state legislatures but in the conservative education and economic power, as well as considerations of foreign policy have forced the enactment of PEPC and fair housing legislation tionalized racism in the North. The developing Negro consciousness, tical machines, and the non-legal, but nevertheless real institu-

Democratic machines. These machines have not dealt with the problems of the urban areas. They speak the language of liberalism and deliver the vote of the workers, Negroes and liberals, but they live in an easy alliance with the dominant business interests. These machines have not dealt with the problems

Policy for the SP has to start not only from an awareness of the various forms of the political stalemate but from the size and strength of the organized democratic socialist movement. Our main concern is to involve the members of the Party in the various organizations which already exist and which are devoted to limited goals. We want to increase participation and activity in labor unions, civil rights organizations, peace organizations, various political groupings — such as sthe ADA, Liberal Party, reform Democratic Party clubs, and community organization, urban renewal, etc. The Party must seek ways of assisting its members in these activities, and utilizing its resources to condition the seek of the party must seek ways of assisting ordinate and strengthen these various efforts. Right now the Party and its members have only peripheral involvement and influence in these organizations and movements.

We should particularly emphasize, where possible, those dynamic organizations that are formally politically non-partisan. We urge this not because of their "neutrality" but because they represent a more radical response to American society. The growth and strengthening of these "peripheral" groups is essential to any longer range radicalization in America. Purthermore the active people in these movements constitute the bulk of the involved radicals in this country today. It is amongst these people that the Socialist Party can find potential members today. They are the people who do not need to be convinced of the desirability of either realignment or a third party. They usually vote Democratic, but what they do on the other 364 days of the year represents the sum total of those activities in which a growing Socialist Party would be a unifying and synthesizing force.

We are referring specifically to the following activities which press it from the left with their more militant approach:

the first distinct steps toward broadening its concern from nuclear policy alone to all aspects of democratic foreign policy; the American Friends Service Committee, with its peace marches and its increasingly successful labor conferences; the Student Peace Union, which has spread to many campuses. peace movement: SANE, which in the past year has taken

Student Nonviolent Coordinating Committee, and similar independent The direct action civil rights movement:

local groups.

4. The Megro American Labor Council, which reinforces the direct action civil rights movement, but also serves to advance the cause of union democracy on a basis of racial equality. Groups struggling for democracy in the trade union movement.

In the past both the advocate's of realignment and the advocates of a labor (or third) Party have tended to exaggerate their relevance to the immediate day-to-day life of our movement. Granted that these are important and highly desirable developments, it is a mistake to think that advocacy of them by the small socialist movement is either in any way central to our present appeal, or that it can significantly affect the possibility of either gcals coming to fruition. Furthermore such an emphasis is a deterrent to our growth in this period. For it is a fact that the youth are growing at a far more rapid rate than the Party, because youth who have arrived at a radical social outlook are readier to commit themselves in accordance with their principles to a small organization. Any attempt to make political realignment the major emphasis of our activity will contribute to liquidating our youth support, which is the most promising source at this time for future militant Socialist participation in all arenas of American life. To sum up our political perspectives and our orientation during

the Kennedy administration:

1. We represent as a Party a fundamentally different approach to problems of society. We are not, in other words, the left wing of Kennedy administration.

2. We would welcome realignment of the present two-party system as a decisive step forward in American politics. We welcome activity by liberal Democrats aimed at getting their Party to carry out the liberal planks contained in the Democratic Party to carry out the fiberal planks contained in the Democratic Party platform. Members of the Socialist Party today, for reasons detailed above, does not emphasize such arenas, but neither does it exclude the possibility that in some locales these types of reform clubs can provide a meaningful year-round center for political activity on neighborhood, local and national issues.

3. We do not exclude a turn of events sometimes in the future that would result in a mass liberal-labor "third" party, which we would of course welcome. Where local independent liberal or labor political action is possible on a mass scale, Socialists should participate. At the present time, considering our own weakness and our still peripheral relationship with the liberal, labor and civil rights arenas, it would be incorrect for us to emphasize initiatives on our part for this pur-

4. Socialist candidates should be put forward where a serious campaign is assured, and where a significant response is likely. We should not run candidates merely as a gesture on behalf of our principles, thus demonostrating our weakness and convincing the people we most want to influence of our sectarianism.

5. What we should emphasize is that our proposals for a new society are accompanied by an unceasing effort at participation in all the areas of social concern where significant radical and militant activity is being carried on, with the immediate objective of making our party the assembling point of American democratic radicalism. Only then can the question of the Socialist Party's intervention in reorganizing American political party life become a serious and significant debate.

1. The Soviet testing of over 30 nuclear devices since September 1, the projected atmospheric testing of U.S. weapons, and the obvious inadequacy of private of "do-it-yourself" fall-out shelters raise the

need for a truly national civil defense program.

2. Such a program to be meaningful must comprise a multi-billion dollar federally sponsored shelter construction approach which will extend into every community and neighborhood in the country.

3. This construction program requires top priority to protect the population as quickly as possible from the effect of nuclear devices and their testing.

4. This kind of program needs also to be accompanied by the dissemination of complete information on the genetic and biological destructiveness of nuclear bombs as well as their explosive and firestorm effects.

5. The program demands furthermore a reorganization of civil defense, so as to involve the population as full participants (with the British Home Guard as its possible model), instead of becoming a military, regimented organizational basis for garrison-state develop-

designed to undercut the problem of permanent unemployment by tieing it to school, public and federal housing and turning these programs into multiple purpose constructions which will include the building and stocking of deep underground shelters for community use.

7. The reluctance of political leaders in Washington to act on such a program, despite their personal alarm over the consequences of nuclear weapons, prompts the SP-SDF - in line with its general and political orientation - to call upon the trade unions, liberal organizations, humanitarian and religious groups, and others to join in common action to apply mass political pressure for the enactment of this kind of program - a social defense program.

ARGUMENT FOR THE BOULDER RESOLUTION ON "SOCIAL" DEFENSE

mass circulation magazines, and many other organizations and individuals have concerned themselves with the need for a civilian defense and fallout protection program. While we agree that there is a great need for such protection, we feel that most official proposals which have been made are seriously inadequate or misconceived.

The basic fault of these proposals is that they call for private-including even warning signals - which not only would create social and economic inequality of protection but also would result in technically insufficient protection even for those few fortunate enough to have The problem of Civil Defense has been raised with increased urgency because of political developments in the last few months. The mounting tension of the Berlin crisis, the Soviet testing of over 30 nuclear devices, and the possibility of American resumption of atmospheric testing have all contributed to a widespread public concern with questions of fallout and civilian defense. Washington officials,

access to such facilities.

Specifically, our objections to the present Civil Defense situation and to such proposals for future Civil Defense are:

A. Private fallout shelters introduce social, economic, geo-graphic, and occupational inequalities in protection. Such inequali-

ties stem from differences in availability of money and time, and in access to space and in ormation needed for construction for private fallout shelters; public or private institutional development of facilities to shelter government, business and military officials, and the futility and impossibility of building such shelters in densely populated areas.

B. Private fallout shelters cannot meet the technical demands of population protection in a thermonuclear age. At best they provide only short-term protection from fallout and almost no protection from other dangers of nuclear attack - blast, heat, ground shock, firestorms and the like. In addition they isolate small groups of people in a situation of social disorganization - breakdown of utilities, failure of communication, anarchic and criminal developments, need for medical and other specialized services, and shortages of essential supplies and equipment.

ized, publicly financed, and technically sound protection from nuclear The obvious demand of a nuclear world is for extensive, social-

ground and with great structural strength, and can include technical devices for air and waterpurufication - providing considerable protection from all or most physical effects of nuclear attack, and even from chemical or biological warfare. serious effects of modern war. Large shelters can Only large-scale shelters can be built to withstand the more be built far under-

The requirements for subsistence under conditions of nuclear warfare - maintenance of communication and utilities, provision of special services, and the preparatory stockpiling of supplies and equipment - can only be met by large-scale, coordinated efforts.

Only in large shelters can a reasonable degree of social organization be maintained. Such organization will be essential to keep order, bolster morale, and deal with problems of immediate rescue and

Long-range reconstruction.

The only humane and moral approach to civilian defense is an attempt to offer equal opportunity of protection to everyone. This is impossible if defense is a private affair - even among public officials. Large-scale, socialized facilities are an indispensable condition for equality of protection.

obvious. It is equally apparent that meeting such a need requires a society-wide effort. That kind of effort can only be organized and financed on a national level, by the federal government. It is in fact the duty of the federal ogvernment in fulfilling its Constitutional obligation to: The need for protection fulfilling the above conditions is

PROVIDE FOR THE COMMON DEFENSE, PROMOTE THE WELFARE AND SECURE THE BLESSINGS OF LIBERTY OURSELVES AND OUR POSTERITY.

in our nuclear age, this means Social Defense from nuclear

We therefore call for a multi-billion dollar federal Civil

Defense program, including construction of large-scale shelters, and extending into every community and neighborhood in the country.

This program should be given top priority, with the objective of protecting the population from the effects of nuclear warfare and possible time. nuclear testing to the greatest possible extent and within the shortest

tion of complete information on the hazards of a nuclear age and the The program should be accompanied by the collection and distribu-

Such information must include

possibilities of protection from them. Such information must include estimates of the extent and kind of destruction expected in the event of war, estimates of the consequences of nuclear testing for this and future generations, and plans for minimizing such dangers.

Finally, the program must be accompanied by a complete reorganization of Civil Defense that would involve the entire population as participants. (The English Home Guard of World War Two might be used as a model of such involvement.) This kind of participation would put Civil Defense out of the hands of incompetents and alarmists. Further, it would reduce the tendency for Civil Defense to become an instrument of militarization or regimentation.

Clearly, to move the federal government to adopt such a program wall require action by many organizations and individuals - especially trade unions, religious and humanitarian associations, and political

however, the proposed program and actions will meet with opposition from those who contend that Civil Defense is not worthwhile, impossible or actually harmful.

Among arguments against extended civilian defense are those which say that it would be more effort than it is worth - too expensive, too unlikely ever to be needed, and so forth.

Although these arguments are legitimate and deserve consideration, their force is greatly dimirished by the very fact of the widespread concern over civilian defense which now exists. People who make or influence political decisions should not gauge the cost of a program by its absolute expense, but rather by the relative value attached to lit by those to whom they are politically responsible. Moreover, Civil and there is one of those things which is vastly more costly to need and

not have than to have and not need.

Another kind of argument contends that Civil Defense cannot be safective enough to be useful. (Too few lives could be saved; no shelters we now have would really give protection; and so on.) However, not only is a rather small increase in the number of lives saved worth an enormous increase in the expense and effort but forth to protect them, but also it is quite likely that with sufficient effort, the technology of protection can begin to match the technology of destruction

A more permicious set of arguments is presented by those who unitend that Civil Defense is antithetical to "Peace", and especially by those who advocate unilateralist solutions to the problem of Peace. These include not only extremists from various "Peace" movements, but also those who problem "Better Red than dead," those who are apologists for the Soviet Union, and those who are simply looking for an easy way out of circumstances requiring complicated analysis and plannin

The basic argument of the "Peace" minded grouping is termed the War Esychology argument. Their contention is that even to talk about, let alone to try to provide adequate civilian defense is to delude the population into believing that it is protected from the dangers of nuclear war — a delusion which, it is thought, will lead the population to a willingness to engage in such a war. Those who advocate civilian to a willingness to engage in such a war. Those who advocate civilian defense therefore become villians, militarists, warmongers - in short,

assume that the people are capable of being manipulated by mere words and symbols either into favoring war or into opposing war. The interests of this elite of "Peace" are in manipulating people by mere words into opposing war. They assume that other (militarists, reactionaries, and advocates of civilian defense) are attempting to manipulate people by more words into favoring war. and that they are

Newhere do such elitists admit that the people can tell the difference between what is real and what is simply fakery.

between, say, having a knight's armor against a jouster's lance and having even the best shelters against thermonuclear war. It is the elitists who have been fooled by their own words, noise, and irrevelant analogies into opposing such necessary measures as civilian defense would precisely involve increasing the population's awareness of the dangers of nuclear war, it is an elitist-assumption of the worst sort which claims that the same awareness which makes pacifists anti-war

would make the populace pro-war.

Moreover, these "Peace"-mongers are often profoundly anti-human in that they are normally not at all concerned with saving human lives unless they can save all human lives. They assume that the only alternatives in the world situation are either/or dichotomies: the millenium of "Peace", or Armageddon total salvation, or total destruction

prophecy is apparent here: they must oppose precisely those measures which will permit some to survive - civilian defense.

In the foggy world of this kind of "humanism" and "morality", opposition to war, they feel compelled to declare that if war comes, none chall survive; all shall die. The logic of the self-fulfilling

either Red, or dead.

surrender,

or suicide

find those who express condemnation and horror at the existence of persons who are willing to contend that there is a difference between the deaths of 100 million people and, say, 50 million; of 40 million

and, say, 30 mi 111 on .

Discussions of such differences are indeed grotesque, yet in our world and time, we are compelled to consider them. Cool-headedness

should not be confused with cold-bloodedness.

Lives in the event of nuclear war in no way precludes making efforts toward avoiding nuclear ware entirely. Of course efforts to prevent nuclear war should be made. However, in politics, as in all human endeavors, even one's best efforts may fail. Good intentions are insufficient; exemplary actions do not necessarily produce the intended results; and even the most careful calculation may be mistaken.

If for any calculable or incalculable reason nuclear war does occur, it is essential that as many people as possible have adequate protection. That kind of protection is only possible through the prior organization of the extensive socialized defense system we are It should be realized as well that making efforts toward saving

by ordinary logic, those who wanted to oppose civilian defense would have to contend either that thermonuclear war is impossible or that saving human lives is not worth while. Yet it is exactly those who counterpose "Peace" to civilian defense who contend that nuclear war is almost inevitable, and that the most likely causes of war will be irrarionality, insanity, or accident - causes which could hardly be eliminated by any of the activities they are proposing. The righteous gestures (demonstrations, petitions, and "Peace" marches) of those opposing both civilian defense and the existence of

nuclear weapons often are nothing but arguments for their alleged purity of heart. Frequently an admitted cynicism about their own ineffectiveness pervades extreme pacifist, unilateral disarmament, and anti-civilian defense movements. Such cynical activity is a symptom of the politics of despair and the politics of personal salvation. It is doubtful that activities of this nature will have much effect on public policy; and it is also doubtful that the proposals made by those so acting are either possible or desirable.

Although the opposition to civilian defense of those who have a single-minded approach to "Peace" is disreputable enough; there is another source of opposition which is positively vicious. This rejection of appropriate Civil Defense comes from those who are opposed to the social and economic changes required by a large-scale protection program - the Right, and especially the radical Right. Their unwillingness to permit increased taxes or large federal programs and probably even their desire to keep the presently neglected Civil Defense program in reactionary hands - not to mention their existing the content of the program is the content of the property hands. interests in the profits of private, free-enterprise shelters + these are the narrow, profoundly anti-human sources of their opposition. Their arguments will ring with right-wing demagogery, but be in obvious contradiction to their professed dedication to the United States Constitution.

nuclear war for a very long time. Civilian defense would be necessary even if only the present cold-war context were considered; but the need for protection is not limited to that context; it is a long-range and Yet neither demonstrations nor demagogery can eliminate the hazards of the nuclear age. It is not possible to sweep science and technology under a rug. It is, in fact, prob able that the world will increasing need. have to live with nuclear technology, nuclear weapons, and the risk of war for a very long time. Civilian defense would be necessary

merits in terms of the need to provide insurance against the effect of possible nuclear war. Still, it could be argued that even so we might not want to face the extensive social consequences of such a program. A number of the predictable consequences, however, are actually desirable, particularly from the standpoint of liberal, labor, and socialist objectives.

variety of purposes in addition to their function as shelters; for example, in the decentralization of publically sponsored industrial development, or as any of the now badly needed public buildings schools, hospitals, and even housing. This kind of development could greatly stimulate municipal planning - a much needed activity, as our tangled cities show. To give a single other example of problems which could be alleviated by this program: the blatant waste of food and money involved in the problem of agricultural surpluses could be reduced by processing and storing surpluses in usable form as part of the could be reduced by this program: shelter provisions:

widespread permanent unemployment and periodic economic recessions, An extensive, multi-purpose public construction program of the kind needed would create large numbersof jobs, not only in the program itself but also in providing the necessary resources. In the face of

this is clearly needed.

which this social defense program will require would be an important contribution to the kind of social legislation thought desirable by the economy. the Left, and will be a substantial addition to the public sector of The increase in expenditure on publically financed construction

make the necessary legislation possible. This is by no means impossible. In fact, since thereis widespread and growing support in the population for the civilian defense measures, this might be just the civilian defense program, not only makes it more desirable in terms of liberal, labor, and socialist politics, but also means that a considerable shift in American politics would have to take place in order to issue which could add enough impetus to effect a restructuring American politics. The fact that such social consequences flow from an adequate 25

Many organizations - trade unions, religious and humanitarian essociations, political groups, etc. - will have to support this program if it is to become a reality; and they will benefit as organizations by serving as channels for the expression and implementation of public desire for effective Civil Defense.

creation of a large-scale, public, socialized, multi-purpose program of Civil Defense, and we urge other organizations and individuals to join in common action to apply mass political pressure for the immediate adoption of such a program. We therefore call for the assignment of highest price ity to the

BOULDER LOCAL

1 THE PARTY AND THE NEW ADMINISTRATION

ó

150 300 (Defeated by the National Committee; Philadelphia, June 161)

Mar She of the

K

both houses. Nevertheless the frustration of the popular will continues to both in the legislature and the country and in the streets of the cities of the United States, particularly in the south. The principle reason for this is contained in the effective domination of our political life by a coalition of reactionary Democrats and Republicans. Their power to flaunt the popular The campaign of the Freedom Riders to wipe out segregation in the south and the campaign of persecution against them reveals anew the essence of the political crisis in the United States. The people of the United States are predominantly represented by the labor movement, the civil rights movements and liberals both organized and unorganized. They have clearly expressed their sentiments on a whole series of vital questions. They have will is not diminished in any serious degree by the fact that they form an John F. Kennedy as president and in the return of a Democratic majority in stated them unmistakely, even if by a narrow majority, in the election of in the elections. unofficial coalition which does not dare to present itself for endorsement

segregation denies them. These rights were solomnly pledged by the platform adopted by the Democratic Party at its Los Angeles convention, by the candidates who can on this platform in the last election. They have been affirmed by decisions just as solemnly made and reiterated by the Supreme Court of the United States. Those who are engaged in persecuting, hounding, suppressing and jailing the Freedom Riders are not simply reactionaries in general; they are not simply violators of the decisions of the Supreme Court; they are also the official representatives and spokesmen for the Democratic Party throughout the South. Trying to mediate-between those who are working to implement the law of the land and those who are insolently violating the law is the representative of the Administration at the head of the Justice Department. He is bound by the law of the lahd and by the pledges of his Democratic Party, yet he calls upon the Freedom Riders to desist from the exercise of their elementary democratic rights. the Negro people of the south to social equality which the whole system of The Freedom Riders are doing nothing more than asserting the rights of

national field; unless the labor, liberal, and civil rights movements coalesce their efforts as quickly as possible to overcome the reactionary coalition whose domination of the government has been primarily respectable for our and social progress, now more urgently needed than ever before, and to make this progress not only inside the United States but on the critical interpolitical crisis. It is impossible for the United States to make the economic, political,

such a realignment into existence has served to perpetuate the state of stagnation in our economic and political life at home and thereby has also contributed to the increasingly critical situation of the democratic movements The SP-SDF has proclaimed with the greatest earnestness the urgent need for a new political alignment. The failure of the progressive political forces in this country to take speedy and energetic measures toward bringing and hopes throughout the world,

office at the beginning of this year is that it has sought to placate at one and the same time the reactionary elements in its own party still cynically The best that can be said of the new administration since it took

Discharge is 14 + CEEPE 6

allied with their similars in the Republican Party, and at the same time to conciliate those who are genuinely committed to a program of economic and social progress. It was a foregone conclusion that any attempt to placate such unreconcilable forces could produce only what it has produced: the conspicuous failure to achieve even those modest goals set forth both in the Los Angeles Democratic platform and in the task force proposals of the new administration. Where bold strides foward are required on such problems as peace, disarmament, unemployment, automation, economic growth and civil rights, there has been at most a halting and trivial toddling. On the whole the measures passed and proposed are utterly inadequate. The pitiable compromises offered by the White House on its own legislative proposals, far from appeasing the reactionary Deco-Republican coalition have only served to embolden them and to harden their resistence to social change.

A; new political party is absolutely essential. The SP-SDF recognizes, however, that it cannot be created overnight. Further, it recognizes that such a party cannot be created by the efforts of socialists alone. Indeed it recognizes that a new party composed only of socialists could not under present circumstances meet the urgent requirements of the day. It is mainly for that reason that the SP-SDF feels that the main responsibility rests precisely on the shoulders of the labor, liberal and civil rights movements who express the best and most far-sighted interests of the American piople.

We recognize that these movements are today allied with or give their support largely to the progressive wing of the Democratic Party. It is both the task and the duty of these movements, even if they do not yet agree on the need to form a new party to take those steps required to achieve the democratic will of the American people by breaking the strength of the reactionary collition. To reduce this reactionary coalition to its real position as a minority, a counter-coalition must be organized. Its program in the beginning need be nothing more than the program to which the labor, liberal and civil rights movements are already committed. But to realize this program requires first of all to break the stranglehold of the reactionaries and southern Democrats on the Democratic Party and, through it, the houses of Congress.

We therefore call upon all labor and liberals in the Democratic Party to repudiate by word and deed the reactionary southern Democrats. We call likewise upon labor and liberals in the Republican Party to dissociate themselves completely from the dominant reactionary wing of their own party which has maintained a shameful alliance with the southern Democrats.

Such outstanding persecutors of the Freedom Riders as Governor Patterson of Alabama and Governor Barnett of Mississippi are flutters of the elementary principles of democracy and decency and must be explicitly repudiated by all those in the Democratic Party who are committed to the Party platform.

The Democratic National Committee which has been so inexcusably silent about the shameful conduct of its spokesmen in the south must be called upon to take a clear cut stand. Silence or equivocation about this international scandal cannot be tolerated in any political body in this country.

But this appeal for clear-cut words and deeds cannot be made only to the official representatives of the present political parties. The people of the United States expressed their political sentiments in the last presidential election. We do not believe that the political activities

of the people of this country come to an end with the election of a president and a congress. Politics is a year 'round occupation and duty of every citizen. No génuinely democratic political movement is worthy of the name unless it is a grass roots movement, organized in every city, town and hamlet of the country.

The SP.SDF is ready and eager to cooperate selflessly with all labor. liberal and civil rights groups to establish the basis for the political coalition in every locality in the country, no matter on how modest a basis the beginning is made. In this beginning the SP-SDF pledges its loyal solidarity, since it seeks no narrow partisan advantage but aims above all things to help bring about the new political alignment in the united States. The SP-SDF is prepared to cooperate with and support all scrious forces, both inside and outside the Democratic Party in waging a struggle to isolate and defeat all reactionary elements, again be they inside or outside the Democratic Party. The reactionaries must be challenged not only in elections but in primaries. No election or primary campaign anywhere in the country should be allowed to pass without the progressive secavery level; both inside the existing parties and in the elections them-

ately and it must be a bold and radical beginning. advance the cause of freedom. That is the story of the United States in recent times and up to now. It will continue to be the case and even grow visionaries who believe that all that needs to be changed will be changed if we seek only to maintain things as they are. We Socialists are not worse unless we in this country take the initiative for a radical change. that it has been unable to offer leadership, that it has been unable to States calls itself the leader of the free world. by forces of revolution or by forces of counter-revolution. history has the United States faced so grave a political crisis at home serious thought must be aware, as socialists are aware, that never In a world yearning for change we condemn ourselves to futility and defeat Everyone to whom life and liberty are dear and who gives our problems But a beginning in the direction of change must be made immedi-It is no exaggeration to say that the entire globe is gripped The tragic truth is The United

The beginning which is the prerequisite for coming to gripps effectively both with domestic and international problems bears the name: a new political alignment in the United States. None of the pressing and critical problems that now demand solution —— mass un-employment, the housing crisis, the crisis in education, in transportation, in medicine and health, the decay of our cities, our stagnating economy, automation —— can be dealt with effectively when the political life of our country is strangled by the old outmoded reactionary political coalition that dominates our lives.

We are Socialists. We have neither the need nor the desire to conceal our belief that the big problems of today can be resolved durably only by a complete transformation of the very basis of our society, by the introduction of social ownership, democratic control and democratic planning. We support wholeheartedly all legislation or any other measures which, while not themselves socialist, facilitate the realization of our ideals. But it is not this ideal which is in the minds of the millions upon millions who make up the progressive forces in this country. It is with them that we seek honest collaboration in the advancement of all those economic and political social forward stops for which we feel a common need.

The American people have overcome grave political crises in the past.

The one we face now, we repeat, is the gravest of all. If we succeed in resolving it not only the United States but the entire world will be able to move forward to security, to prosperity, to peace, to liberty. The forces in the United States already committed to these goals are ample in number and strength to realize them. Let them unite to break the political grip of the dead hand that holds us back.

THE PARTY AND THE KENNEDY ADMINISTRATION

Sam Bottone

(Defeated by the National Committee; Philadelphia, June 1961)

The first 5 months of the Kennedy Administration has quickly shown the gap between the promises of the campaign and the grossly inadequate proposals to meet the needs of the American people. In housing, education, unemployment, economic growth and civil rights, the Administration task forces clearly outlined the scope of the problems. But the proposals sent to Congress were sharply limited even before the Dixiecrat-Republican coalition cut them even further. The new administration instead of forthrightly challenging the power of this reactionary coalition has tried to come to terms with it on domestic legislation in the interest of support for foreign policy.

Particularly outrageous is the refusal of the Administration to support any now civil rights legislation despite all the campaign promises and the overwhelming vote of the Negro people, a vote which was decisive for Kennedy's victory. Certain administrative steps have been taken, such as a seemingly more rigorous Committee on Equal Employment Opportunities, but this is not sufficient.

The campaign of the Freedom Riders to wipe out discrimination in interstate travel has presented the challenge once more. The appeal to the Freedom Riders to stop their efforts is clearly howing to the pressures of those who seek to delay and deny such minimal steps for equal treatment.

The Cuban adventure is the most startling example of the cynical actions of this administration. Not only did it reveal the spokesmen of the U.S. government to be barefaced liars but it betrayed the trust of the Cuban exiles who turned to the U.S. for help to overthrow the Castro dictatorship. Kennedy spoke the words of freedom and social reform and then acted to re-establish a conservative, if not reactionary, regime in Cuba.

Many liberal genuinely believed the promises of the New Frontier, and eagerly looked to a 100 days of social legislation to meet pressing domestic and international problems. While it is refreshing to have an administration more aware of the problems of the world and more representative of liberal, labor, and civil rights sentiment, the New Frontier is following in the old mold of compremise on important domestic legislation and adherence to the interests of the "military-industrial complex" in foreign policy. At one and the same time, it raises the promise of social reform, uses the language of the rising revolution of expectations, and then urges greater military-power to meet the thrust of communism. But the Cuban adventure showed that, at bottom, despite the rhetoric of liberalism and social reform, U.S. foreign policy will not differ Significantly from what it was under Truman and Eisenhower.

The stalemate of U.S. politics is threatened by both the forces for progress and the forces for reaction. The most dynamic progressive movement is the developing Negro struggle for first class citizenship and human dignity. On the reactionary side, there is the John Birch Society, reflecting middle-class bewilderment toward the revolutionary struggles of our time and the challange of Communism.

Domestic reform legislation is effectively blocked in Congress by the reactionary coalition of Southern Democrats and Republicans, and in many

Democratic or Republican control, most important Congressional committees has shown that compromise, as Kennedy has done, only emboldens the coalition states by the unrepresentative character of the rural-dominated legislatures are dominated by the conservatives of both parties. And long experience

changes taking place in the former colonial and semi-colonial world. He is the power of the "military-industrial complex", and those who are committed to the defense of capitalism in an era of revolutionary change. of the 20th Century. Foreign policy, under both Democratic and Republican administration, has been decisively shaped by military considerations, and only secondarily by political considerations, to meet the revolutionary elite in the U. S. And political parties committed, at best, to extremely modest reforms in the U. S. can hardly deal sympathetically with nations Nothing better illustrates the over-all conservative character of the power political climate into a conservative shape unable to meet the challenge and peoples in the process of vast revolutionary upheavals. But there are other stalemates in American politics which distort our

in the North. The developing Negro consciousness, education and economic power, as well as considerations of foreign policy have forced the enactment of FEPC and fair housing lepislation in many of the state of th Megro in the North, the stalemate is focused in the big city political memains despite these advances. machines, and the non-legal, but nevertheless real institutionalized racism and fair housing legislation in many states. But the basic reality

For liberal and labor forces in the north, the stalemate is not only in the rural-dominated state legislatures but in the conservative Democratic machines. These machines have not dealt with the problems of the urban areas but they speak the language of liberalism and deliver the vote of the workers, Negroes and liberals, but they live in an easy alliance with the dominant business interests.

Policy for the SP has to start not only from an awareness of the various forms of the political stalemate but from the size and strength of the democratic socialist movement. Our main concern is to involve the members of the Party in the various organizations which already exist and which are devoted to limited liberal goals. We want to increase participation and activity in labor unions, civil rights organizations like NAACP organizations and movements. and CORE, SANE, ADA and in certain local areas, community organizations concerned with specific local problems such as education and urban renewal. Right now, the Party has only peripheral involvement and influence in these

as such, will adopt a socialist orientation. The question is, then, in which direction do we want to exert our influence: toward the Democratic Party participate in these organizations because we genuinely believe in their goals and want to participate in achieving them. But in another sense, they One of the characteristics of the most dynamic groups, such as the civil rights movement and SANE, that is groups toward which we are oriented, is that they are, in a sense, outside of politics. They seek to involve capitalist political parties, even if only taking the first tentative step of independence from the two \circ d parties. with the prospect of capturing or reforming it; or toward breaking with movements in a political direction. It is unlikely that these movements, tical institutions. However, socialists are concerned with influencing such are involved in politics, to the extent that they make demands of our polipeople in specific action projects, as their primary activity. Socialists

Many liberals and even some labor unions, like the UAW, have already

that it will precede such changes. party, will accompany or follow the breaking of the stalemate in the relationship of the political and social forces in the U. S.; it is unlikely advocated a change in the political party structure in the U.S. But such a change cannot be conjured into existence. A political realignment, even to the extent of the formation of a more consistently liberal and conservative

participate with liberal forces in campaigns to pressure Congress for the enactment of meaningful social legislation, and to demand the end of the administration live up to its liberal campaign promises, and to break the control of the Dixiecrats within their own party. But we are also ready to but by drawing the broader implications of these activities. Socialists urge liberals and laborites active in the Democratic party to demand that the new have a vital role to play in such movements, not only furthering their goals, progressive organizations whose members we believe will form the ranks of The need for a new party of labor, liberals and the Negro people is clear. While our Party must be a part of this development, it is difficult to see how we can be the catalyst for it. We participate in liberal and Dimiecrat power in Congress. new party; and we encourage imbpendence of the old parties. Socialists

curselves from the day-to-day struggles of the liberal, labor and Negro movements. Sometimes this can be furthered by the SP running its own candidates where political conditions and the strength of the party makes it fruitful. But since we are concerned with encouraging political independence while not at the same time demanding adherence to socialist ideas and programs, we should encourage independent campaigns: independent of the old parties, a broad coalition of liberals, labor and Negroes united around parties. We seek to encourage this in every possible way while not isolating specific issues.

2

For the first time in sixty years, the SP did not present or support a candidate for President. This decision, though unavoidable, raises serious problems for the future existence of Socialist organization in the United States

accomodation and compromise. tant fight for a truly liberal labor coalition, we have a hodge-podge of party developments indicate that the slim Kennedy victory and Party tradition meant a fight for a democratic party without the South and committed to a program at least as liberal as the 1960 platform. A realistic view of Democratic has reinforced the coalition of Southern Democrats and big city bosses, leaving the handful of liberal congressmen in a difficult position. Instead of a mili-Our basic theoretical framework was one of political realignment. This

a start at an urban renewal program. depressed areas, federal aid to education, increased unemployment benefits and of a program and has settled for some small advances in minimum wage, aid to neither the strength nor the initiative to lead the fight for the implementation The official labor movement recuperating from an electoral victory has

permanent unemployment and a stagnant economy go unanswered. Meanwhile, basic issues of war or peace, civil rights, civil liberties,

inadequate, has real merit and should be supported as far as it tude of the thirties. The program of the liberals in Congress, The SP has rejected as the road to isolation the basically negative attigoes. no matter how

What then is the role of the Socialist Party toward the New Frontier? As a left ADA working almost completely within the frame of reference of the liberal Congressional bloc and the trade union leadership, it is relegated to the uninspiring choice of better or worse compromises.

The maintenance of a Socialist Label for our Party makes the decision easier. If we want to go the ADA route, we should abandon the SP label and try to be as effective as possible through local Democratic clubs and COPE in working for better compromises within the two party structure.

cratic party politics. that it separates us from dependence upon the realistic alternatives in Demo-The advantage of maintaining a Socialist label and program is precisely

worn-out dogma. It means that the party must become a center for those who do not accept the lib-lab compromises as the bost that can be achieved and are impressed with the poverty of new ideas in the old parties. We must not repeat the errors of the thirties and isolate ourselves, in effect, from the struggle for immediate social and economic advances. We should look to the future of our Party as a radical leaven that while supporting immediate demands also is concerned with long range programs of basic social reconstruction. an organization of radical discontent. This does not mean a sterile loyalty to liability in terms of public acceptance. This is not our weakness -- it is our strength. The Socialist Party, if it is to have a role and grow, must remain America is the one country in the world where a Socialist label is a

A radical party has other arenas to work in. Our immediate arena is the struggle of organizations dedicated to direct action on immediate issues and oducational groups working in the arena of ideas. The issue groups include organizations like Southern Student Leadership Conference, CORE, NAACP, NALC, the Puerto Rican, Mexican, Jewish, American Indian and other minority groups. Our activities through SANE, ACLU, WDL, AFSC, all emphasize the fight for

social justice.

N

self is the culprit. where for democratic rights, even in those cases where the union hierarchy it-In labor, it is not enough to oppose anti-labor legislation and support the economic demands of unions. We should support the fight of workers every-

the rights of non-conformity, is opposed to the abuses of bureaucracy. In a or a union. before the needs of the institution, whether it be the state, a corporation, word, it is concerned first with people and their needs, and puts these needs A radical party supports the individual against the machine, fights

dencies towards conformity and centralization in our society. We believe that be radical is to go to the root and that the root is man. We are concerned with the corrosive effect of mass culture and the ten-

that encourage intellectual ferment in society. the need for cultural diversity as a matter of principle and support conditions and do not accept a welfare state structure that submerges freedom. We feel cians may part company with us when we refuse to accept the rules of the game of big institutions jockeying for power. We are concerned with human freedom, Many of our friends in the top grade union leadership and their techni-

in the manipulative atmosphere of big institutions -- but in the areas of ideas and values where tens of thousands are searching for answers to the problem of in a mass society. Our tendency has an appeal not so much to the social engineers who work

We are not for rejecting practical politics. We are for going beyond its limits working in the arena of ideas on campuses, and in the intellectual community and in the world of struggle where the sit-in or the direct action group is making its impact.

a tragedy to dissipate our assets by letting our people become wholly immersed in old party politics. To the extent we build cadres of capable people -- to that extent the American Socialist organization will be in a position to play a roll in the years ahead and be taken seriously. in the world of ideas and the arena of struggle and issue groups. ty has the talents, tradition and potential to make a substantial contribution The major area of Socialist effort is in precisely these areas. Our par-It would be

quite harmless -- to this extent we will be treated with contempt. To the extent we try to convince our lib-lab friends that we really are been proved to be a REAL factor in American political life by the recent proposals for independent political action, coming from some unions that are fed up with the treachery of liberal politicians in the Democratic Party. locals" would either have not been carried or perhaps would not have been introduced in the first place. The debate would certainly have been more meancedure would have been followed, I'm convinced that the "statement to Party by the convention should have been adopted by the National Committee or a ment in the February issue of "Hammer and Tongs" or another resolution which As a public statement of the views of our organization, it is more than ade-Party perspective is not outmoded, as some believe, but on the contrary has this, one reads the following from their statement: We maintain that a Labor important to them that they be <u>classified</u> as left wing. In order to accomplish locals" are in another category. pendence of the Party structure, etc. of maintaining a balance between work in the Democratic Party, and the indebecause they did not have a resolution before them in the sense I have tried locals" were not happy with it, disagreed with it in part, but voted for it resolution carried in the February issue of "Hammer and Tongs". If this proin favor of the realignment concept as embodied in the type of statement or subcommittee of the National Committee after the convention recorded itself The statement "Toward Political Realignment - A Socialist Declaration" adopted the comrades should have had an opportunity to discuss prior to the convention. tions statement and which could for all practical purposes not be amended. had not read, let alone not having debated it, and essentially a public relation were confronted not with this statement, but with a statement which they amended; and then be voted on at the convention. The delegates at the convencomings was a resolution which could be debated prior to the convention and presume was discussed by our locals. and Tongs" carried a statement entitled "Toward Political Realignment" which I favor of this turn remains much to be desired. The February issue of "Hammer Realignment in America - A Socialist Declaration" adopted at our 1960 conven-I am wholeheartedly in favor of the document entitled "Toward Political Unfortunately, the manner in which the convention recorded itself in The delegates, however, should have had before them either the state-The majority of the delegates who voted for the "statement to Party The majority of the comrades wanted to record themselves in favor They are riding two horses. It is extremely This statement despite any of its short-The sponsors of the "statement to Party

real factor in the U.S. in 1960??? then obviously the main conclusion of the Realignment resolution should be from their viewpoint "break with the two capitalist parties and form a third party - A Labor Party. But this is not the conclusion of the Realignment resolution and correctly so. How anyone in this day and age can state that the L.P. is a real factor because in some rare instance a union leader makes a speech in favor of independent political action is beyond me. This does not mean that it is impossible for the situation to change. But I'm a square. I thought long age that we wrote resolutions on the basis of existing circumstances and not on wishful thinking or in propping up the images we have of ourselves as left-wingers. If the sponsors of this point of view want to be taken seriously, let them document this income the content of the went to be taken seriously, let them document this

